

NVBHME Meeting 1 of 2

Wed, May 03, 2023 4:45PM 1:28:01

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

board, charles, meeting, protocol, motion, board members, edwards, ph, supervising, office, reno, talk, years, record, doctor, notarized, application, move, licensed, nrs

SPEAKERS

Sean Devlin, Bruce Fong, Joel Becker, Dr. Bruce Fong, Dr. Nicole Hujer ND, John Glavinovich, Charles Green, Kathleen Peele

K Kathleen Peele 00:00
Thank you good. Okay.

C Charles Green 00:06
Now I members of the public are going to be coming in when they come up on the screen here or set the screen.

K Kathleen Peele 00:13
Okay. Bookbinder right there you might simply say no this is perfect

C Charles Green 00:53
okay Dr Edwards is in the waiting room I'm gonna let him in Hello Dr Edwards you have your microphone on mute Dr Edwards it should be a small microphone with a slash on it you want to click on that to open it up very good Sean is still having trouble with you're

S Sean Devlin 01:39
kind of working on it. I'm

C Charles Green 01:40
not sure. Okay. What the story is here. I'll give him a few minutes to work on that. Dr Sophy is in the waiting Room 20. A maybe give Dr. Nicole a call May Carrie you have a phone number

in the waiting room 20 A maybe give Dr. Nicole a call Hey Carrie you have a phone number yeah I want to see if we can get her in the room she's the person on the agenda naturally start the meeting and she should be here by now but she's not Doctor sorry is here I will be on in a second okay okay no worries okay great yes we just had in contact with Dr. The call she's just got home she's getting ready to turn her computer on and join us? We lose Okay, we have some other folks coming in. I'm gonna have them join the meeting. There's Dr. Clemente vich. Dr. Royal and then Dr. Soria. You could unmute real quick Mr. Green.

J Joel Becker 08:27

Is it possible that somebody else can handle the technical stuff? Why we actually let you start the meeting and run the show without having to do all the addition subtraction with people on the actual meeting?

K Kathleen Peele 09:01

Did he hear you?

C Charles Green 09:05

Yes, I did. I'm the host of the meeting. So only I can do this. So what's the meeting? Get started? Okay, here's Nicole. I'm going to admit her.

J Joel Becker 09:20

Right. I'm just thinking maybe Carrie can handle the action will push.

C Charles Green 09:24

All of this is at my desk. Okay, Mr. Becker.

J Joel Becker 09:29

I guess we do as we can. All right, Shawn.

C Charles Green 09:37

I think Shawn has not seen him now. You're right. I think he's trying to fix his his video five video camera right now. Where he is going to be admitted back in. Shot is on me Oh, can you hear me Shawn? Okay, there he goes. Now he got it. Now he's got it. Alright, I'm gonna admit. Alright. Few more the board meeting here. Dr. Dr. Fung is with us now. I hit I've entered him in

in the meeting. So I don't I see we're all everyone that everyone has been admitted to this point into the meeting I think we're ready to go. Start. Sure on you want to go ahead and start the agenda then and call a call or meeting?

S Sean Devlin 10:50

You bet. Thank you guys so much for making it I know this is kind of a new modality, at least for this board, to be doing a meeting via zoom. But with people located across the state, I think it's the easiest thing to do. So thank you again for joining us tonight. The issue for us right now is to do our Roll Call. It's pretty straightforward. There's only four members. But if I can get a verbal eye from the names I call, the first one being Kathy peel. Hi. Great. Dr. Dave Edwards. Dr. Edwards on

C Charles Green 11:30

Dr. Edward, you're on mute if you can hear me.

S Sean Devlin 11:34

I awesome. And then our dear friend, Charles Green. I, I and I Sean Devlin and present so we have a quorum to meet today. The first thing I'd like to do I know the board members have been given the agenda in advance is if we could review that pretty quickly in a minute or two, and then approve it as we move forward through it.

C Charles Green 12:07

Okay, Shawn, did you want me to read the agenda?

S Sean Devlin 12:09

Um, you can I think people can read it. And if there's any questions, we can deal with those, but we'll have to have a motion.

C Charles Green 12:17

Okay, so item two would be approving the agenda item three would be approving the minutes of the December meeting of 2021. Item for this President's Report. Item five is the public comments. Item six is the board. panel interview. Item seven is the Secretary's notes. Item eight is the discussion on Dr. Or excuse me, Governor Lim Bartos executive orders. Item nine is a discussion on SB 336. Item 10 is legislative mandate SB 98. Item 11 is discuss the legislature sunset committee meeting that I had. And then item 12 is the compensation for the secretary treasurer on the board and his staff. So that is the entire agenda. Dr.

S Sean Devlin 13:28

Yeah, and then we'll discuss new business for the next board meeting, take public comments and then hopefully announce the next scheduled board meeting and then asked for adjournment. Do we have a motion to accept this agenda as it is?

C Charles Green 13:43

I'm present a motion to accept this agenda?

S Sean Devlin 13:47

We have a second? A second. Great. We'll move forward to item number three. Approval of the minutes from our last meeting December 21 of 2021. And those minutes should have come to all the board members as an attachment from Mr. Charles Green.

B Bruce Fong 14:08

Mr. President, I have a point of information. Please, please. I have several questions since the board hasn't met for a little bit. One is can you tell me how many board meetings were scheduled between the canceled meeting in March? And the first of the year? You

S Sean Devlin 14:28

have 2023 2022 Oh 2022. For the for the calendar year, there was a one attempted meeting and then one other attempted scheduled meeting as far as I know between Charles and I tried to find a date that would work.

J Joel Becker 14:46

Let me just interrupt just a second. There's Deputy Attorney General Joe Becker. Let's stay on each agenda topic as it is. I'm sorry Mr. Edwards. I don't see how your question pertains to the minutes Have the last meeting.

B Bruce Fong 15:03

It's for point of information I'm trying to get information

C Charles Green 15:08

will provide him with some information

J Joel Becker 15:11
if you have to, but I don't know if that's exactly on the topic.

C Charles Green 15:16
Okay, they got dates of mailing for board meetings on three of 18 to 22. Three and 29 of 22, three of 30 of 22 331 20 244 22. On our

B Bruce Fong 15:29
schedule, so we've

C Charles Green 15:30
set out quite a few opportunities to set a board meeting for 2022.

B Bruce Fong 15:37
Not my question was how many meetings were actually scheduled that were posted? The agendas? One, okay, thank you. Thank you. You didn't set a time for adjournment. At the beginning. And Robert's Rules were supposed to have the time for adjournment?

J Joel Becker 15:56
I'm sorry, but I don't believe we're beholden to Robert's Rules. That is a suggestion that some boards follow some boards do not I don't believe this board is beholden to Robert's Rules in any way. So I'd appreciate if you stuck with the topic. And if you have an issue, or something that you'd like to amend or change from the minutes of the 22 of the December 21. Meeting, now's the time to speak on that. If not, let's just vote and keep it moving. Please. Thank you.

B Bruce Fong 16:28
Thank you, Mr. Becker. Could you tell me as president, have you tried to contact the governor's office either sisolak, or the new governor about the fact that we are two members short? And we have been for what 14 months or so? Yeah.

S Sean Devlin 16:45
That would be Yeah. Charles has actually taken that upon yourself.



C Charles Green 16:49
Yes, I was in contact with the former governor. I am in contact with the president governor, and they are aware we are two board members short.

B Bruce Fong 16:58
Okay. Thank you.

S Sean Devlin 17:02
So I guess we're still sitting on that movement into

C Charles Green 17:06
a motion to accept me Genda. From that particular the agenda has been accepted. We just need to I mean, the approval of the minutes for December 21.

S Sean Devlin 17:14
As everyone had a chance to read those minutes.

C Charles Green 17:19
Just a refresher, we brought four members onto the board a PHS. And an HBase. onto the board of that particular meeting. It's a good meeting.

B Bruce Fong 17:30
Excuse me, what meeting are we referring to?

C Charles Green 17:33
This is a meeting back in December of 2021. You on this board?

K Kathleen Peele 17:42
I accept the the minutes of the meeting or second.

B Bruce Fong 17:45

I motion to approve.

S

Sean Devlin 17:48

Okay, so we have it. We have a second for that motion. Second it. Second. Those in favor of approving the minutes say aye. Aye. As far as the President's Report go, it's it's it's pretty simple. A lot of it's going to be the information that we're going to share is going to come out in some of the agenda. We did make an attempt to have an a meeting last year, that meeting was called off for potential violations that were one of our board members. And in doing so, did not schedule another follow up meeting unfortunately, for a variety of reasons. And that certainly I would take responsibility for because it's my job to set those meetings. And we were not able to get meetings on that calendar year as we would liked. During that period of time, the secretary treasurer has worked hard to transfer a lot of the data that was held in the northern office in Reno to his office in Las Vegas. And he's also been implementing a variety, rams, and effort to streamline applications and renewals in such a way that that can be done online. He will discuss some of that later. are currently the office in Reno as storage and any local work or events, everyone's hard copies still remain in the Reno office. So if you applied before this board took over all of your content remain in that office under locked. I finally cabinets in a locked office. So everything is safe in that establishment. New banking was established. Both myself and Mr. Green, were put on the bank account. And we're going to plan on providing minutes to them after this meeting. basically stating we've changed our name, we remove the word state and switched a few words around we'll discuss that during the agenda. The other issue would be, I guess, promoting and talking about the work of the Board with our colleagues and I know that both myself and Mr. Green have been in contact with a variety of nurses and mid levels and physicians who haven't entered just in possibly getting involved with the community through application for licensure. Currently, we have two applicants that set for their exams during this past calendar year. Both of those exams were passed, and they're going to sit before us today. That is the report I have, as of now. Any public comments that are out there? I know a lot of people are on mute. If you want to make a public comment, just unmute. And the one thing that I would ask is that people would give a few seconds after they speak, so that we know that they're done or if they need to continue on they give us time to move on to another public speaker. We reserve about three minutes per person. And we invite anybody to give public comment now.

J

Joel Becker 20:48

This is Deputy Attorney General Joe Baca. For the record. I'd also instruct anybody giving public comment to clearly state and spell your name for the record. And if you represent any entities, you might want to display that as well. And I'm sorry, I noticed that as well. Thank you.

C

Charles Green 21:03

Let me know it's Dr. Gerber is now on the board, or excuse me on the conference meeting. Welcome. Okay. I guess we can see President, Mr. President going to our open session.

D

Dr. Bruce Fong 21:20

So this is Bruce Fong, last name f1 G for Deputy Attorney General. Just for the record, making a public comment, being the former president of this board and running this board for a long time. It is in our policy and bylaws manual that this board conducts meetings under Robert's Rules of Order. And I want to just put that out there and not in any negative fashion. But for clarification and understanding that Robert's Rules of Order were adopted in the early 2000s. And they have been the standard for the board. And I just wanted to put that out there. And I appreciate all the work the board members have done. And that's all my comments.

S

Sean Devlin 21:56

Thank you, Bruce. Anyone else?

C

Charles Green 22:09

John, any comments? John, you're on mute. You'll have to unmute your there's a speaker with a strike on it. You'll have to There you go. Now you got it.

J

John Glavinovich 22:23

Okay, Am I unmuted?

C

Charles Green 22:24

Yes, you're unmuted. We can hear you.

J

John Glavinovich 22:27

Okay, this is John Rabinovich, je L A V as in Victor i n. O. V as in Victor ich, I just want to commend the job that Charles has done under very difficult circumstances, to pull things together to do audit of all the books and bring it all up to date, do the paperwork. It's amazing, you know, he's kind of a one man team. And, you know, he should be commended for his efforts. He and I have talked about, you know, things that we can do to enhance the board, we have arranged meetings with other entities that could help build our ranks tremendously. And so we're working on that. I don't know if I could bring that up right now. But that's down the road and in future and I think, next month, we'll be meeting with a national organization that could be very beneficial to expanding our role. So anyway, that's what I have to say. I could say more, but I think that's a good start.

C

Charles Green 23:28

Thank you for those kind words, John.

S

Sean Devlin 23:30

Thank you, John. Any other comments? All righty, well, with no other public comments, we're going to move on to the board panel interviews with our two applicants, these two applicants are applying for their certification as a p h is. The first one is going to involve an open session. And that's with Dr. Nicole who share. I believe that's how you say it, correct me if I'm wrong, but if you unmute, you can introduce yourself and say hello to the board.

D

Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 24:13

Hi, everybody. It's here, but I know it's spelled and pronounced.

S

Sean Devlin 24:17

Okay. Wonderful. I don't know if you,

D

Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 24:22

what do you want me to introduce or say anything?

S

Sean Devlin 24:25

Yeah, I think you know, we've read through your application. And so we're familiar with your background, but it'd be great to hear it again, in Introduction to the community. There's a few other colleagues on the call and I'm sure they'd like to know you.

D

Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 24:38

Sure, sure. So my background is in nutrition. My first career was as a dietitian that kind of led me into naturopathic medicine. So I think that's a big premises for my background. Looking at you know, metabolic health and using nutrition and lifestyle

S

Sean Devlin 25:02

Great, good deal. So you were a dietitian before you went to naturopathic school.

D

Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 25:08

Yep. Yeah, I did that. And I have my master's in nutrition as well. And that kind of is where I learned about naturopathic medicine. I wasn't familiar with it up until that point. Gotcha.

S

Sean Devlin 25:19

And you're currently working with Dr. fowl? Correct. Great. And what capacity do you work for him now?

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 25:25
Meaning what?

S Sean Devlin 25:26
What do you do for him right now? Obviously, you're not practicing as a PhD. You're working as a naturopath. I mean, tell me what what are you doing now?

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 25:34
Right, exactly. Like as far as, like, modalities and stuff are like, Yeah,

S Sean Devlin 25:40
I mean, like, I mean, obviously, you work on parallel with them. But yeah, what are you doing with them?

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 25:46
Um, so right now, I do a lot of stuff focusing on, like I said, the metabolic health, nutrition is a big piece. So mold cases, there's a lot of mold that's been coming through the office. And then I'm also currently in Nisha winters, metabolic training for cancer. and I are both taking that course, as well. So learning more about that.

S Sean Devlin 26:15
Great, great, and what are your aspirations? With getting this APA? Ah, what are you hoping to be able to do with it?

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 26:23
Um, so I mean, obviously, wanting to be licensed, and then you know, treating more, I think the big thing with Naisha is course, I never kind of thought cancer was something that I would be interested in. But learning the background of that, and how much cancer is driven metabolically to be able to help those patients and the last study was in 2022, where they were talking about, only 6.8% of Americans are metabolically balanced, that makes almost every single patient like a candidate for this, right, like looking at patients from the metabolic background,

to see who's driving, you know, towards that cancer process. So I think, you know, this has been this course, has been a big eye opener, and it just kind of opened my eyes. We're all kind of candidates for that. And I just really want to be a big part of

S

Sean Devlin 27:20

that field. Great, great. Well, Nisha is a phenomenal teacher. I've known her for many years.

D

Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 27:25

He's amazing. She was she actually mentioned to you on that call a couple of weeks ago, we were going to reach out to Yeah, no, that's great. I know.

S

Sean Devlin 27:35

So I don't want to hog all the time. I know we have some other board members, we'd love to get to know you. And you guys have some questions.

C

Charles Green 27:41

Yeah, I have a few. Could you explain your I think we covered some of it. But why you've chosen a career in homeopathy and alternative medicine and essentially dedicated your life to service of citizens, the state of Nevada, and advanced practitioner of homeopathy. What do you hope to see yourself in five years? Sure.

D

Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 28:04

So I think initially, when I was looking at naturopathic medicine, like as a dietitian, I've worked in many of the hospitals here in the Valley. And for me, I feel like that's a big piece of treatment for patients. And I wasn't getting the response that I wanted from some of the other physicians that I was working with. They didn't want to jump, you know, look at the the nutrition aspect of it. So then when I, you know, started looking at okay, what other you know, what else can I do to, to help treat these patients and learning about naturopathic medicine and then homeopathy, I had the blessing to work under a really great homeopath. I went to southwest College of naturopathic medicine, and I kind of fell in love with it. And, and just that type of medicine. I mean, it's an amazing old medicine and he only treated with homeopathy on his shifts to prove a point that he can literally almost treat, you know, anything he did no other supplements, no other therapies, it was literally just remedies. And that just it just, you know, was so fascinating to me, and he actually ended up retiring. And so I got to work with him on his last ship. So I think that that kind of drove my, my desire for, you know, using homeopathy and more natural medicines and I went to school in Arizona, it's everywhere in Arizona and Nevada. I almost feel like it's not it's not well known enough here. Like, we need more people because people kind of turn their heads when you say, naturopath or you say homeopathy,

they're like, Well, what's that? So you know, getting that education, that knowledge out to, you know, our community and, you know, allowing them to have access to that type of medicine, that they have options for doing You know, more natural therapies here?

C Charles Green 30:03

Good answer. Very good. I noticed your your grades. were nothing short of that standing to your career. So I want to commend you on that.

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 30:12

Thank you. I did end up graduating third of my class and I had both my children in med school and finished on time.

C Charles Green 30:21

Very impressive.

S Sean Devlin 30:29

Yeah, see?

K Kathleen Peele 30:31

I looked at a resume and I, you know, I'm impressed. So I don't have I think you guys asked the questions that I probably would have asked, but good for you. Thank you. I need more people like you.

S Sean Devlin 30:47

That is the truth. Dr.

B Bruce Fong 30:49

Edwards. Thank you. I would agree you're you're right record. Very impressive. I have basically two questions. More about the curriculum in the naturopathic school you went to which was southwest, right? Okay, it says here on the record, you sit in here. You took me off of the one in the fall of 20. Only up the two and I came to 20, homeopathy. 319 20 homeopathy for? The question I have is our policy manual. Specifically States policy three, you have to have 300 hours in homeopathy only? Can you give me an estimate of how many hours you spent in those four courses?

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 31:39

I'd have to look it up. I don't know for sure. I was also on the homeopathic ships as well. So we have the coursework and then we have clinic shifts, and the majority of my shifts were homeopathic shifts,

B Bruce Fong 31:55

that when you say shifts, I'm not understanding what you're saying. Shift.

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 32:00

Yeah, clinic shifts. clinic. clinical rotations.

B Bruce Fong 32:06

Yeah, the petition. Okay, because it I'm just trying to point out the policy specifically says because I understand. If you look at our policy manual, our bylaws, the NAC regulations, NRS laws and 630 they commonly conflict each other. So I'm assuming in those four shifts, you had roughly 12 weeks, roughly 300 hours are

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 32:38

our semesters, I think we're 16 weeks.

B Bruce Fong 32:41

Okay. So that should qualify for the 200 hours around here Can you still hear me?

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 32:57

I can't I think it was got muted for a second.

B Bruce Fong 32:59

I'm not computer literate. So we're supposed to have a protocol executed by you and Doctor Doctor file is going to be your supervising physician. Correct. We're supposed to have a protocol that the board reviews and approves in order for you to function. It I didn't use Dr. Tsao online here.

C Charles Green 33:20

You see No he is not you should have that in your packet that to whom it may concern. Nicole has completed over 600 hours and combined hours and training and the following Homeopathics bioidentical hormones, IV therapy, acupuncture, FSM, Botox, integrative cancer therapy. So quite a bit of training she's had, including ozone, mares therapy, ala EDTA chelation, ozone therapy h2, po to therapy. Quite an extensive background in training. Homeopathic being the first one. So I would say between the combination of what she had in school and the training that she's gotten through Dockerfile, which certainly qualifier

B

Bruce Fong 34:08

that's not my question. Yeah. It's supposed to be a protocol or written protocol. Yeah. What she can do under law, the doctor foul agrees to they mutually agree. They bring that to the board. The board has to review that. And agree yes, no. And I'm asking I did not see a protocol in the information Mr. Green gave to me.

S

Sean Devlin 34:36

Yeah, there is none. Dr. Edwards. And I think the thought was, if she's not granted certification, then that isn't going to matter. So if she's granted certification, that would be something that would be sent in post haste and that could be dealt with at another meeting or in the future. So that's something that could be remedied. I think administratively.

B

Bruce Fong 34:57

Well, it's not administrative. It's under no Unless?

S

Sean Devlin 35:01

Yeah, I don't know is that something that strictly says we cannot certify her unless that's in place. Now,

B

Bruce Fong 35:07

that was my understanding. It's no big deal for me, I think she's qualified. It's just a part of this thing we're going through was there should be a protocol, as we approve her to serve under Dr. Foul, that she can do cancer therapy and at whatever,

K

Kathleen Peele 35:28

well, excuse me, but on March date and march 31 2023, to whom it may concern, it is listed. I don't know if this considered her protocol, but it it lists what we normally do in a protocol. It's a letter

B

Bruce Fong 35:46

protocol is a formal document that has to be kept open and available to the public, in the HHS Office. And the board or some agency can come in at any time and asked to see the protocol. The protocol has to be updated by the physician and the APCH. Every year. Correct. And I don't see a protocol here. I think she's qualified. I'm fine with license here. But I don't see the protocol. So my question is, are we following the rules or not?

D

Dr. Bruce Fong 36:20

Mr. President, can I speak? Yeah, absolutely. From board experience and precedent, we've had situations like this before, and the board can vote to approve her certification upon it tonight, but withhold the certificate until we actually get the protocol. So you guys can make the decision. And just, I'm sorry to call him I don't want to hold you up. But I'm trying to help the board move this forward for you.

B

Bruce Fong 36:44

That would be my students.

S

Sean Devlin 36:45

Yeah. I think that sounds a great solution. I agree. Thanks for letting me speak.

C

Charles Green 36:54

Well, I moved to approve Nicole Hooser for her license, or excuse me, her certificate. There's an a pH.

S

Sean Devlin 37:07

Did you want to amend that? So it was clear, as Bruce had described, and Dr. Edwards alluded to,

C

Charles Green 37:14

as far as your

S

Sean Devlin 37:16

motion? Yeah, your motion to include the fact that there would be a statement from Valve, right. As far as a statement from Docker file listing protocols in the format that

C Charles Green 37:29
and her license issuance conditioned on that layer. Protocols. Do I have a

K Kathleen Peele 37:37
second?

S Sean Devlin 37:39
Great. So we have a motion to approve Dr. Nicole who are for her certification as a pH pending a list of procedures and protocols that will be supervised and approved by her HMD. Collaborative physician. Dr. Foul? Do I have an eye for those who approve this motion? I do I have a nay? Okay. Motion carries forward. She's approved with that pending piece of paper from Dr. Foul. Does that make sense? Nicole?

C Charles Green 38:10
Congratulations, Nicole. Yes. Thank you. You bet.

S Sean Devlin 38:14
Awesome. Thank you for joining our tribe.

K Kathleen Peele 38:17
Congratulations.

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 38:20
Excited to be part of the team.

S Sean Devlin 38:22
Okay, wonderful. All right. So moving forward. Charles, we may need some technical assistance here because it looks like we're gonna move into a closed session.

C Charles Green 38:32
Yes, we are.

J Joel Becker 38:33

Let me just jump in for a quick second is Deputy Attorney General Joel Becker again for the record. Just for clarification on the record that protocol language is not under the NRS I believe it's NAC 630 8.1 48, just if anybody needs to reference it. And I'd also like to take a second and apologize to Dr. Edwards and Dr. Fong and the board for not having familiarized myself with the bylaws. From 2012. I was focused on the statute and regs I hadn't even seen them. The bylaws do dictate that the board is meeting and reserved the 10th edition of Robert's Rules of Order. However, at the same time, I'd also like to suggest that the board create an agenda item for future meeting in which to revisit these now 11 year old bylaws, and consider either foregoing Robert's Rules altogether, or update the language of the bylaws to refer to the latest edition of the rules, as they are currently up to the 12th edition, then might be better served on the new business. But I wanted to get my apology out the dark gardens and find out soon as possible so that we can be sure we're all on the same page that Robert's Rules are in effect, even if we're not exactly on the latest addition.

S Sean Devlin 39:51

Thank you, sir. Yeah, thank you.

B Bruce Fong 39:53

If I can correct you, Mr. Becker. NRS 630 8.293 To be three talks about the protocol.

J Joel Becker 40:06

I'm looking at last NRS. Right 293. But that is specific to prescribing substances which are contained in homeopathic Pharmacopoeia of the United States. And I believe what you're talking about is NAC 630 8.1 48, under which an advanced practitioner of homoeopathy shall not engaged in the interstate practice, unless a written protocol authorizes such practices approved to the board. That, but I believe we're all on the same page on that anyway. So it's kind of academic.

B Bruce Fong 40:45

I'd like to be clarified here. So they don't need a protocol.

J Joel Becker 40:51

No, no, that's not what I'm saying. I'm just pointing you to a different section. In the NRS. The statute, the only three words times that protocol comes up is under like you said, 293. And that's actually being specific to subscribe substances, I believe, I guess to a good be considered in there. But it seems like it's kind of all. It kind of all goes together, in fact, that NAC one might

be duplicative of this NRS. One, and you might not need them both. So that might be something to keep in mind for. When we decide what read what regulations you want to streamline, or put on the cutting block from the governor. We'll talk about that more when the agenda item comes up. But thank you, Dr. Edwards. Ready?

S Sean Devlin 41:59

So Charles, are you prepared to go into a private session?

C Charles Green 42:05

Okay, let me unmute here. Yes, yes, I'm prepared now. So it won't work. All I have to do right now is click the button. And that will put

S Sean Devlin 42:16

will let the the those who are in attendance outside this close meeting, you're welcome to stay. We can't say how long this meeting or session will take place. But it's going to be held. And then we're going to come out of that into open session to take a vote on one of our other applicants. So if you guys are patient, you can hang out in the waiting room otherwise,

J Joel Becker 42:38

I apologize. This is Joe Becker get for the record. Let's do that by motion, go into a closed session. So somebody asked for a motion to open closed session, it should be second they voted on and then gone into closed session. And same thing on the way out that somebody should motion to go back into the on the open sessions.

S Sean Devlin 43:00

Kathy or Charles?

K Kathleen Peele 43:02

motion to go into closed session.

C Charles Green 43:05

I second that motion.

S Sean Devlin 43:07

Great for those who wish to go into a closed session say aye.

K

Kathleen Peele 43:10

Aye.

S

Sean Devlin 43:13

All right. So we're moving forward to a closed session.

C

Charles Green 43:22

Okay, so close all rooms. All right.

S

Sean Devlin 43:31

So that motion is to move back into open session for all those that say I say aye. Aye. Aye. Okay, we'll move back into open session.

C

Charles Green 43:41

Using the wrong mouse. Well, that's would be the reason. They're over here. Keep going. Keep carry that one. Alrighty, then. Close. All rooms, close all rooms. I'm closing the rooms. Okay, I think we're now becoming back into open session.

S

Sean Devlin 44:06

Great, wonderful. All right. Well, we had a wonderful opportunity to engage with Dr. Eric Soria, who is a candidate for the AP H certificate. And we had a very productive conversation and interview. And I think there's some board members who would like to take some actions.

B

Bruce Fong 44:30

I would motion that we go ahead and grant a certificate, provided we get the protocol that she and Dr. Tsao will will approve and present to the board.

S

Sean Devlin 44:43

We get a second for that. I said a second. Okay, fantastic. For those of you who want to vote in the affirmative, say aye aye for this motion concerning the certification of Dr. Erica Soria as an a pH with pro Bit protocols and procedures being put in place by Dr. Foul. I wonderful motion

passes. Congratulations.

B Bruce Fong 45:10
Congratulations. Appreciate you. Thank you.

S Sean Devlin 45:14
Absolutely. All right. Moving along, Mr. Charles Green. Yes. Your Item number seven. I am for those of you who need a drink of water or bathroom break. Thank you. Is it time soon?

J Joel Becker 45:32
Might be a good idea to take a 10 minute administrative break so people can stab stretch their legs use the restroom. It's been an hour and 15 minutes already.

S Sean Devlin 45:42
Great. Okay, we'll do that. Then. Take a quick break. I'll make a motion I guess.

J Joel Becker 45:46
I need to make a motion. Motion.

K Kathleen Peele 45:49
How long is it 10 Min. How long? 10 minutes. Okay. 10 minutes.

C Charles Green 45:53
730 for Rica. I'm 45 Perfect. 745 Okay. Yeah. Sorry, folks. We're just need a few minutes.

K Kathleen Peele 46:09
Hey, Charles. Yes.

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 46:11
Where do you need us to stay on for the rest of the meeting?

C Charles Green 46:16
Who's speaking to me? Exactly where other than seeing your lovely face? No, you can leave you don't have to stick around. You are you are a new member we're excited to have you.

D Dr. Nicole Hujer ND 46:32
I just wanted to confirm that I want to scoot out over the break. I'm sure

C Charles Green 46:39
you can leave. Okay, stick around you the rest of this meeting is pretty boring. Thank you so much Charles. Yes. You enjoyed the rest of your evening ladies. You too thank you take care. We said bye. Peace out thanks

K Kathleen Peele 47:25
past to get competing more going on person

C Charles Green 48:37
What do you need? Okay, I can record her back on so that we have recordings. Right.

S Sean Devlin 48:46
Okay, but 744 Go ahead and start here and simply. It looks like

C Charles Green 48:56
all the board members here are attorneys here. We're good to go. I guess.

S Sean Devlin 49:03
I'm here. So yeah. So we're good to go. So go ahead and start with number seven. Charles.

C Charles Green 49:08
Okay, here's somewhat of a lengthy agenda item but I'll get cracking on it here. secretary's report on the financial report for our membership annual report. Okay, so from July 1 of 2021 to June 30 2022. The beginning balance of the board was 33,000. Their ending balance was \$41,813. Or expenses for the third quarter calendar of 2021 was 2148. Our fourth quarter

expenses were 1481. Now with that said, I don't know if you're all aware of it, but we had some very serious problems that I addressed. When I was up in the Reno office and found out and investigated. We had some attempted embezzlement and by God's grace, I was able to mute that bezel MIT to the tune of somewhere between 10 and \$15,000. So we in cyberspace, if you will, they have this thing called pingging. And pingging is such that, they'll put money into your account, they'll put two cents, five cents, 10 cents, 20 cents, over the course of three or four months, they might put \$5 in your account. Well, at that point it with it, the computer thinks that they can draw money out if the account hasn't been specified. So they started embezzling money out of your account. We had someone tried to get 1900. And there was several other attempts to get several \$1,000. Fortunately, oddly enough, I thought it was on my account when the bank called me and said this was occurring. And I immediately reversed those attempts. And we caught it in time it had gone a few if I hadn't had the bank notify me that I thought they were notifying me on me account, they were notified me on the boards account, which I had set that up as well. So with that notification, I was able to stop it and shatter myself felt it best that we in the bank recommendations, close the account and open a new account. So when I was up in Reno, Shawn and I reopened the account, they put the money back in the account. So we were reimbursed. So that's what happened in November of 2021. Going forward here from current fiscal year in 2023, our expenses have run about \$5,200, they've been very low, as far as you know, rents and things of that nature. current balance is \$50,309 in the bank. Okay, so that's the report. That's the financial report. The next report is the board membership. I will split this out because this is how it's presented on the web. So we have 14 Doctors HMDs of those two are licensed under 630. A, we have eight doctors licensed under 630, a 630, and 633. We have three doctors licensed under out of state. So we have a total of 14 doctors. As far as advanced practitioners of homeopathy, of course, we've taken some on the board, I'm not counting them today. But we have 20 A PHS. We have eight H A's. So we have a total of 42 members as it stands right now. So that's that. Okay, so the next thing at hand here, let me get my thought processes going here. So I'm going to talk a little bit about the things that I've done. And this is a kind of a time consuming. issue is the Board website, I'm going to just outline some of the additions that we've made on the board for the last 18 months. So the Board website, it was very difficult to get all the information I needed to get control of the website. But I was able over the course of about six, seven months to be able to achieve that by just keep going after it. Now, a lot of these things you people won't understand because it's computer talk, if you will. So I was able to get access and full security control of our website that is in place. So we have an active functioning website. I was I was able to complete two, I was able to completely access GoDaddy panel, which is our account for our DNS records achieve full access to the website back end administrative panel, I updated the current list of all our licensing and certificate holders. I updated the list of the four new applicants at that particular time. I updated the licensing applications and the renewal applications for H AAS, a pH is in HMDs. In fact that none of that stuff had been done for years. So a lot of that information was it was strictly outdated. Just six months ago we updated the complaint had had the president from 1520 years ago so we've updated all that material is somewhat embarrassed that I didn't catch that earlier. We set up the an email account for the president myself so so we registered a website domain. We updated the contact information so that people can get a hold of us. We our computer hardware and software we updated all that installed it we digital digitized and uploaded all current licensing and certificate holders files to OneDrive. That's the ones we have the other ones are up in the Reno office. I was up I spent two full days in Reno I had bought the board a a scanner and I was able to scan as many records as I could in a two day period to bring them down so that our files On here would be correct. But most of those records up there, we kept the office that often cost me more for a storage place. So I've kept that office up there, especially if one of our members needs to come in the Reno area myself or whatever. If you as well need access to the board, we can certainly

get you in there as a place to work, we purchased a computer had to have one to install the new software, monthly computer we had was purchased in the 90s. And it was you just wouldn't receive any information. So I gotta say, a good deal on a computer laptop to perform the required duties. I purchased the scanner, as I mentioned to you, and of course, I was up in the Reno office scanning scanning, we still to you know, what I want to do is computerized all those records. And quite frankly, that will take a week to 10 days, we've got 30 plus years of records there. And that information needs to be retained in an original retained up into the up into the clouds. So so that's going to be a lot of work, we will we will I will have to hire somebody to help me do that. But I want to do it when the boards are capable when we have more memberships, you know, our board is dead last of boards. As far as revenues, we look at the other medical boards, they're in the three to \$5 million range. So we got a lot of work ahead of us to get people on this board. And I think in current times with people wanting to be in health instead of sickness, I think we can do that and grow the board. Ongoing long term website performance, we want to improve that update as needed. The structure and function of the Application we've made just in the last year and a half, WordPress comes out every every six weeks, a new programs and additional programs and applications that we're uploading, I had to purchase this application. So we could go into the closed session and come out of the closed session. So I'm trying to bring the board into the 21st century, if you will. And so we've done that. And that's that's achieved. So next I want to talk about I have hired a gal to build our first essentially, homepage, if you will, our design is old, I contracted with font design, we've given her a retainer to help us redo some of the website, everything that I can do personally for my staff and get stuff done to save this board money because obviously money is a big issue. I'm doing it in a we haven't had to pay a executive director because we don't have one, we don't have a vice president or two board members short. You all know this. But these are some of the things that we're doing just to try to save this board money. Then now we're going to move on to a PDF documentation for required documentation, editing, updating was secured, we're going to have a username and password. And what we'd like to do on the website, as we do design is we'd like you all to be able to go in and update your files as far as who you are and what you are and and your modalities and things you practice as a nature path homeopath or medical doctor, and be able to list those on the board as far as your professional list, and the things that you're quite capable of doing. And, and everything will be expected on the board or everything will go through what's called Docusign. I think you've doctors are well versed on Docusign. And you've had to sign documents and Docusign. Now one of the issues that I took the liberty and maybe I was unaligned by doing it, I was going to I did notarization because I looked at some of these historical documents we've had notarizations Since 2009 on the original application, but I created a new document for reps each year for when you sign up your APA H's and Ha's and information on that. And to let you know what's going on there. The ROM is it was referred to remote online notarization that is now legal in the state of Nevada and it was passed in bill for 13. Back in December of 218. It's very convenient and increasing access is helps the board as far as fraud minimization that also helps with security and enforcement. Legally, it will help us as well. So, so we're going to address a statement of for our supervisors informs the APA. And I really believe you know that I hope you don't have to do that but I hope you will. It helps us in administration when we're trying to get people signed up and caring for with that. So the benefits of notarisation receipt and record notification statement of supervisors I've told you about. It's long been long established. For all new APA H's and Ha's we just want it as a renewal. You only have to do it once a year now you're going to be able to do it online. You won't have to no inconvenience whatsoever, because it'll be part of an online thing. So, again, it helps with maintenance and accuracy of records of professional accountability and addressing complaints and provides the legal safeguard regarding patients and patient liability.

Streamline. Because once that comes DocuSign. And then the last part is suggestions or any alternative or other legal language that you so choose me to use, that does is the same as an authorization or that as well. So that Charles,

S Sean Devlin 1:00:34

sorry to interrupt you. I just want to you've gone through quite a bit of list here, which seems pretty informative. Do you have some request of us to take any action or make any recommendation or because it sounds like you've sort of pursued some things already.

C Charles Green 1:00:53

Kind of, you know, the person didn't have a lot of money, it's in trouble. I'm trying to do as much as I can, under my guys to save the board money. But yeah, Dr. Edwards had an issue in wanted this to be into a meeting. So I put it on the agenda. And I'd like to see you guys approved notarisation for that particular form. Any other forms that we do, I will bring in front of the board for approval. But he felt that compelling to have me do this. And so I'm now doing it under his request to get a formal approval from you to use an authorization that online in the future.

S Sean Devlin 1:01:30

So I, I believe the issue was notarization of primary was already that's already in the work that's already happening. Yes, it's notarization of the secondary of the follow up years.

C Charles Green 1:01:44

Yeah, so each year is a once a year, but you will sign you know, a document for your protocols that your Ha's and APs are going to be using, you know that you're going to attest that they are under your supervision. Part of the problem is we've talked to some AP agents, they don't even know who they're who their HMDs. Were. I mean, that's, that's somewhat ridiculous, obviously. So we have to have some way. So they take it seriously. And that was the

K Kathleen Peele 1:02:13

I have an issue with that. I don't know anybody who didn't know who their supervising doctor is.

S Sean Devlin 1:02:19

Well, yeah, we've had, unfortunately,

C Charles Green 1:02:22

right now, but I can give you some examples of that.

S

Sean Devlin 1:02:25

There are several disconnected, a pH is from their supervising physician. And so that was part of Charles's concern was not

C

Charles Green 1:02:34

as elaborate. I shared that information with Shawn. Yeah.

S

Sean Devlin 1:02:37

So my, you know, my take is, I'd love to hear what Dr. Edwards has to say, you know, with his institutional knowledge of kind of where we've been and going in this direction, as it makes sense.

B

Bruce Fong 1:02:48

Um, my recommendation would be, I understand what Mr. Green is saying. I understand we live in a legalist democracy now. But if you look at our applications, or renewal application applications, we have to attest that we did to see me. And yet, you still want to see me the only board, I know that I'm licensed by the wants without a check, just send all your see me. But my question is, rather than having notarized, why don't you put just put an attestation on the renewal form, where the supervisor, doctor can list that he's attesting that he's supervising these folks. The assistants and certificate holders can say the same thing. I'm being supervised by so and so. And I attest to that. That gives the board kind of legal cover to if anybody's practicing without somebody. Because I think one of the issues was, how do we know if the board is notified? If you like a supervising doctor as a supervisor anymore? Well, I thought we're supposed to notify the board of that. So to me, it seems that we're kind of beating a dead horse. I understand Mr. Green wants to go into 20 a century and I'm a dinosaur. But to me, it would be simpler just to put it on the damn renewal form. Because in my, my office, we had, we had to bring in a notary, it was 250 bucks, the staff was not happy, because they didn't understand why they needed to do this. So okay, if we want to do this, simply put it on the renewal form with a signature attestation that you would test it I'm supervising so and so or I'm being supervised by Dr. So and So doesn't accomplish the same thing without what else other

C

Charles Green 1:04:44

if you if you're not really, if you look in you know, and I looked a lot of this stuff up. You know, here's a guide to promote a remote online notarizations This can all be done online. I really am sorry about inconveniencing you not to have to do that. And I really didn't know that in the beginning when I was doing this, the ramifications for that so I can understand what you're

upset. But you know, the assembly bill passed it, it's now and there are guys and purposes for notarisation It's kind of how things are done now and most of the legal and even I, in fact, Joe, can you weigh in on this a little bit with us here? You're the legal expert.

B Bruce Fong 1:05:29
Right? Um,

J Joel Becker 1:05:32
what exactly is the issue? I kind of drifted being that this isn't exactly Oh, ml related. But alright, so what's the manners?

C Charles Green 1:05:43
So, what I'm saying is, is now we can do this all online. So. So when the doctors are filling out all their forms, and doing everything's as necessary to as the board requires, they'll be able to do this online through DocuSign. And through DocuSign, if we can get notarisation attestation of that, yes, that's the doctor. And it's actually it on the computers. Now, it's you there's no way to get around it. It's it is it is a legal tool going forward for both the AGs office and our board as far as if there's any issues with that coming up. But it to me, it puts it out there to where the physicians that are being responsible and taking on the a bh is in a JS in a responsible manner. To me notarisation was one one avenue to be able to have some control of this as an administrator of the board. You know, things were you weren't able to organize the activity of this stuff, because of

B Bruce Fong 1:06:58
my point was Mr. Becker, rather than going through the kind of Legalist notary, why don't we just put that information on the renewal application? Like we do with CME and have the either the certificate holder or the doctor supervising supervisee just attest to the fact that these are the facts. What advantage does notarization give over the attestation? So here we go.

J Joel Becker 1:07:32
Let's look at it this way. And attestation is you telling me that you're saying it under perjury, under penalty of whatever penalty it is, if it's a legal document, and maybe part three? Usually, as far as I'm, as I've seen, most attestations have been notarized, so that it's an official signature of you know, your secrets are officially on the attestation. So it's not like somebody wrote it and signed it for you. You can do this online, there's online, notaries Nevada accepts online notary in a lot of in most situations, and in terms of streamlining the application process and being up to date with people coming in. And, and I mean, I might be wrong, but I would see, I would think that most newer applicants are on the younger side of, you know, they might be more apt and willing and at ease and comfortable doing it all online. I just think it would

also, again, this is a board matter, but legally, it can be done either way most antastic attestations. It's not an affidavit affidavits are all notarized but a good attestation would I would want it. I mean, otherwise, it's just your word. You know,

B Bruce Fong 1:09:20

then why, all the other reasons by not having me notarized that what why are no other boards? I'm licensed by doing that.

J Joel Becker 1:09:29

I can't speak to what other boards do or what other boards don't do. I

B Bruce Fong 1:09:35

I attest on the application.

J Joel Becker 1:09:37

I don't know. But I think Dr. Fog has something that he'd like.

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:09:41

Mr. President may address the board. Yes. So most guys don't know this. But other than being the head of the homeopathic board for quite a while. I also have been president of the Nevada Osteopathic Medical Association for many years. I've been involved in a number of other boards and And at least been part of committees. Number one, being duly licensed. I agree with Dr. Edwards. There was no other board that's requiring notarisation for renewal of licensure. I can tell you that what happened at the end of last year, all the licensees and certificate holders and I know Charles, you're trying to get our process to be more in line with what you feel would be a more secure way of getting everything lined up with the information. But it was a big hurdle. It wasn't just expense. It was the time that I actually personally supervised quite a few APA H's and Ha's, I literally had to have a motor mobile notary come to my office, there's no way I get to go six or seven different appointments. I schedule that, okay. And I get it, there's, there's, um, you know, and sometimes there's unexpected consequences, but what we do, but I can tell you as a whole, across the whole community, because people call me, they were pretty pissed. Okay, and I'm, like, I'm gonna be straight up, they were pissed. Okay. And what I don't want this board doing is alienating all of our licensees and certificate holders. You already said we don't have too many of them as it is. We don't want to do that. My suggestion. Mr. President, can we actually make this a something a little bit more better announced to the community that the community can voice their opinion as well? And maybe it's just table this topic for now? Yeah, that

C Charles Green 1:11:25

C Charles Green 1:11:29

was my fault. But let me just make one statement here. And let me read what is a notarisation. notarisation is the official fraud deterrent process that ensures the parties of a transaction or this is a transaction that a document is authentic and can be trusted is a third party process performed by a notary public, and includes venting, certifying and record keeping notarizing are sometimes referred to as a notarial. Act. And that's it to me.

J Joel Becker 1:11:54

But yes, so wait, is the original is, again, Deputy General, back for the record, is a person's first application

C Charles Green 1:12:05

notarized? Yes, and has been since 2009,

J Joel Becker 1:12:09

then I don't know why you would need the renewal if you already have.

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:12:14

That's my point. If you've been notarized once, you shouldn't have to do it again.

J Joel Becker 1:12:18

Right. I didn't realize we were discussing this in terms of renewals. I thought this is for new licenses. Yeah. Once you have one notarized signature, you just take the one of the renewal, match it up, it looks good, boom, you're done.

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:12:31

And just for the record, again, being in charge of organizations that do continuing medical education, every society I know of when you do medical education, you sign an attestation form, that basically is a legal binding document saying you attest that you attended X number of classes. So in the community and precedent, we have a situation where we attest to things, and it is an honor system, we're not, you know, and I understand where you guys came from. If somebody really doesn't know who their supervisor is, that's a big problem. But the vast majority of the community isn't.

C Charles Green 1:13:06

We don't know who's out there doing what we're a small board. And that's fine. Man we take

later. The other thing is, you know, if I'm taking this board of the 20th century, we do everything online in the future, you know, is just a, it's just a function that I won't have to inconvenience you like I have in the past, which again, I really apologize for didn't mean to do that.

J Joel Becker 1:13:26

Because once they have that first signature notarized on their initial application, there really is no need for repetition thereof.

K Kathleen Peele 1:13:35

And speaking from the nursing perspective, as a nurse practitioner, and as a pH, and an RN, we don't have anything notarized. Everything is an attestation also. And we go every two years, not every year, which is a whole nother discussion, but not today.

S Sean Devlin 1:14:00

So Charles, I do know what motivated you to make this request. And I certainly sympathize with you. But it sounds like it caused quite a kerfuffle. So we probably if you want to

C Charles Green 1:14:14

learn online, and then, you know, all all forms are gonna go online in the future. And I hear that, I think, now, and I'm fine with that. But let us get two or 300. And we'll see what the issues are that

S Sean Devlin 1:14:28

well, and they're gonna be a baby boom, then of homeopaths, because that's a lot. So Bruce brings up a good point like this is a little bit of a quagmire. And I think Dr. Edwards sort of nailed it with the idea of doing attestations from the supervisee and the supervisor side. I think that's very reasonable. But I would say this probably should go to a committee, we probably could table it, but it's really up to you to if you want to make a motion on this. To do so. I think getting to any sort of final answer tonight. was going to be a lot Okay,

C Charles Green 1:15:00

so let's just table it for another.

S Sean Devlin 1:15:04

How would you like to phrase that?

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:15:08
That's your that's your President, you want to you want to make a motion to table this topic?
The I would

S Sean Devlin 1:15:13
like to. So are you up to that? Charles? Is your topic? Yeah, sorry.

C Charles Green 1:15:18
Again, my motives are pure here. I'm just trying to let you know, I'm the one doing the administration on this board every day. And I see the things that are, they're kind of setting me and going, why, and I'm just trying to fix the problems. But ultimately, I worked for the pleasure of the board. So whatever the decision is, I'll certainly abide by it. But let's table it and talk about it at another time. Go ahead and

S Sean Devlin 1:15:40
put that in the form of motion, please.

C Charles Green 1:15:42
All right. So May, I recommend that we table this particular item on number seven, for another board meeting?

S Sean Devlin 1:15:59
Okay, so what I'm hearing is you're making a motion to making a motion, great to table this topic, specifically around using the notary for a confirmation of HMDA supervisory role for either Ha's or a pH

C Charles Green 1:16:14
is, is this true? And possibly the former committee to investigate this, and I will need you guys's input on on the forms that we have, they're totally outdated. So So, so I need to know what direction I'm supposed to take all these other forms and how they're supposed to be. Believe me, they're, you know, they're outdated. They, you know, they were listing presidents, you know, the Truman years, I'm sure he just did old stuff. And you're not alone. I've seen it on other boards, where you go in there, and I'm talking 25 years, the president of our board was listed as in a complaint department there. Nobody had the thing to go up and update that. So I'm just trying to bring the board in. So

S Sean Devlin 1:17:01

Charles, Charles, you made it you made a motion and if you keep going on the person that's not compelled to repeat what you just said. So if you get a second on the motion to table this concept, and then possibly break out into having a committee in the future second, on the motion to table this topic it's dark on the vine, Charles don't have a second so we're gonna have to move forward. Did

C Charles Green 1:17:39

Kathleen seconded or

S Sean Devlin 1:17:41

no, you have no second, so it's dead dead in the water unless you want to try to reinvigorate it at a later meeting?

C Charles Green 1:17:47

All right. It's, it's, it's done. Alright, so we're gonna move on. Okay, a discussion on the possible resolution of the issues regarding the effects of retired or, or of supervisors HMDs upon the APA, as they supervise. So we're sitting in a situation right now. Where h MD is retired, he's displaced to a pH is I think there needs to be a mechanism. So or a committee or some kind of retort for those people to practice until they can find another HMD to put their certificate under that license.

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:18:35

Mr. President, may I speak? Yes. Board precedent on this issue normally with the retiring physician is that the retiring physicians responsibility is to try to locate one or more supervising physicians for their APA H and H A's. However, the board does have the possibility we've never actually done this. But we've I believe it's not disqualified by the statutes that the board itself could supervise those individuals for a period of time until a new HMD can be found.

C Charles Green 1:19:05

So that's a great example of

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:19:08

just putting it out there.

C Charles Green 1:19:10
Place So so how, you know, I'm not a medical doctor. So it would have to be Dr. Edwards or Dr. Devlin that would that would pick up the slack on that. DPH as far as the supervision, correct.

S Sean Devlin 1:19:23
Well, it wasn't. Certainly this is up for discussion. Yeah,

K Kathleen Peele 1:19:27
I like to say something about that. I think when we're looking at the big picture, there's a lot of doctors who are going to be retiring in the near future and what there's many more a pH is, as a nurse practitioner, I can practice alone and don't need a supervising doctor as I am now. So I think if you have the credentials, that possibly in a pH can also do some Um, you know, mentoring

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:20:05
this President, we are kind of getting a little bit off topic. But I appreciate I think that all these opinions are being said, brings up a brand, a whole can of worms that we frankly have a lot more a pH is and Ha's and HMDs. And we do need to kind of discuss a mechanism in the future about possibly letting some go into independent practices, as Kathleen has expertly noted. So I would also suggest tabling this topic for a committee. I agree.

S Sean Devlin 1:20:31
Dr. Edwards, you have some comments on this issue.

B Bruce Fong 1:20:35
There's four of us. I don't see any committees? No. I can't do

C Charles Green 1:20:45
not enough members. So what do we do?

B Bruce Fong 1:20:46
Because I can honestly say, from my perspective, I've had been approached by at least one of the eight PhDs that did not have a supervisor. He's in Vegas. Yes, I just didn't feel comfortable with that kind of distance. Although I know what the law says I can be out the office as long as

they can contact me by phone. I would feel uncomfortable with that kind of situation now, to miss peels point. The the changes I submitted to you last year to try to get naturopaths under the 630, a licensed had language where the law says you have to have a three year residency. I think that would be unusual for a naturopath to have a three year residency. So I included language that said if they were supervised for a specific period of time, I can remember was four years or five years, they can apply to the board, they can apply to the board to have their a pH status elevated to an HMD. And I will see that very similar to what Mr. Spiele just said. Like disappear. No, you're good. Okay, everything's changing here. And it's unfortunately, we didn't get that language on to a, a. An agenda? Because I think that may come up when the governor says justify the fact that you only have three states licensing HMDs and you want 50% of the states are no license. You know, we have to explain that to the governor that, you know, well. There's only three of us. But there's, you know, there's 25 nd boards, and we're kind of like NBS and so we're trying to license the entities. But But I think it's a problem because it no one's a doctor, Devin and I are the only physicians on the board. So if you're saying, well, the board should substitute supervising till we can get a supervisor, I don't see an end point. When are we going to get a supervisor? And more and more deals point? You know, I'm 78 years old. I like what I do, but I could drop dead tomorrow, you know, I'm not a young chicken. So taking on more of that, to me, it doesn't solve the problem. It's kind of like a bandaid.

C Charles Green 1:23:10

Well, these these, these are professionals that are displaced and they can't practice. So so we've got to at least consider that.

B Bruce Fong 1:23:18

Oh, no, no, I agree with you. It's a tragedy that they can practice. But I'm not sure how we can address that.

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:23:29

Holy, you know, because I'm 53 You're 52, right?

S Sean Devlin 1:23:32

We're same age, actually,

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:23:34

same age. And then Melvin's a little younger than us. But we're the three youngest hm DS in the state.

S Sean Devlin 1:23:39

You know, what's the problem? Let me let me

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:23:41

I'm just illustrating what he's saying.

B Bruce Fong 1:23:43

Let me pose a question. Could the board address for instance, Dr. Foul is an excellent classical homeopath. He's an excellent practitioner. He's taking on these two new folks in his office, could the board address him or someone else in Vegas, Dr. Royal to kind of help out here with we don't want to lose these two certified people. But we need someone to help. Like, I would feel very uncomfortable at a distance doing that. I mean, if there was a lawsuit, how can you justify when you're when you're on the witness stand? Well, he was 450 miles away, but everything's

C Charles Green 1:24:21

Yeah, yeah. I agree with that. I have bet. Dr. files here. And I'm encouraged him to apply. And I think he's going to to the governor's office to be on our board. Let me just put that out there now and he's a wonderful man. So we're gonna send him the application from the governor's office. He's sending me back a resume. So we're gonna we're gonna ask the Governor for Dr. Foul to come on the board. And there's a few others as well. So we're going to try to get our board capacity here, up, and so that we can have a real real push on growing this board. And that's what has to happen. Okay, so

S Sean Devlin 1:25:16

like to do, because there is this thing out there and Dr. Edwards, whatever you want to add.

B Bruce Fong 1:25:21

Well, do you really do you realistically think the governor's office is going to act in the middle of this kind of hostile legislative, you know, the legislature is going in one direction. He's in the other party,

C Charles Green 1:25:33

blow up their phone till they do? Yeah, we need board members. You know,

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:25:38

again, guys, this is a long term strategic plan for the board that we need to probably talk about

in better detail. us trying to hash out the details tonight isn't going to be beneficial. Here's

C Charles Green 1:25:49

the I've got it. I've got it. He's sent letters to every single one of you. And he can't practice right now. So what does this poor man do shut his doors down? I am so proud of you guys. You guys get to go to work tomorrow he can't.

B Bruce Fong 1:26:02

I was imposed. How would you propose we resolve? What's your suggestion media, some

C Charles Green 1:26:08

sort? To where he's got three people that he answers to? I don't know what I'm trying to think of an answer if this man needs to work, no. Qualified, a homeopath, a Classical Homeopathy that does good work. I've gotten good report on this man. But he's a fish on a water right now they need it. He's got a family to feed the kid.

S Sean Devlin 1:26:30

Charles, if we took on every case that was challenging, like this, or heartbreaking, we would be busy just doing that. So these people know, there's several people that are in this situation that you've brought up. But this one guy specifically that you've been dealing with. The fact of the matter is, he hasn't reached out to everybody, Bruce just attested that he's not heard anything from him. So the fact is, is that we can't necessarily help everybody. And I'm sorry, he's in the situation. But Dr. Edwards is absolutely right. Trying to remotely supervise somebody that we're very poorly acquainted with, despite his licensure could be a big Jeopardy, not only for us, but also the board.

D Dr. Bruce Fong 1:27:12

You and I had that personal experience. We tried doing that one point we both got burned. Yep. And so I'm sorry, Charles. I mean, it there's, there's been stuff that's happened to us in the past. And we have to be careful. I mean, we we want to help we want to figure out a solution that maybe we can talk a little bit more in private and see if we can twist somebody's arm down in Vegas more for you. So maybe we can jump on board the bandwagon help you but let's get on a private call for that. You guys really have a pressing issue. You guys got to finish tonight. And this this meeting starting to drag so I'm sorry.

S Sean Devlin 1:27:46

So Charles, can I I mean, please, if you want to put this into a motion to table it, consider a committee. We can look at the next agenda as well and maybe consider talking about this more specifically: is do you want to make a motion or do you want to just

more specifically, is do you want to make a motion or do you want to just